

A. Summary Judgment/JMOL

“It is not reasonable to characterize that artificially separated section of the stent coating as a “thread-like” structure. It is not a structure that ever had or would have independent existence.” “One cannot reasonably say that a uniform sheet of paper contains a narrow strip simply because one can cut such a strip from it.” Board of Regents v. Boston Scientific Corp., 2024-2062, 7/27/26.

“[J]ury was entitled to credit” expert who “explained his plain-and-ordinary-meaning interpretation, as known to a person of ordinary skill in the art, of the [unconstrued term].” Moskowitz Family LLC v. Globus Med., Inc., 2024-1696, 9/11/26.

B. Evidence of Infringement

CAFC cases “logically permit a plaintiff to prove satisfaction of a claim limitation based on an industry standard if: (1) the relevant portion of the standard is sufficiently specific to show that practicing it would always result in practicing that limitation, and (2) the relevant portion of the standard is mandatory, or, if it is optional, there is evidence showing that the accused device implements that portion of the standard.” Constellation Designs, LLC v. LG Elecs. Inc., 2024-1822, 8/31/26.